CalConnect TC

Calendaring and scheduling — Support for iCalendar Relationships

Committee Draft Standard

Warning for drafts

This document is not a CalConnect Standard. It is distributed for review and comment, and is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as a Standard. Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium, Inc. 2018

© 2018 The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium, Inc.

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from the address below.

The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium, Inc.

4390 Chaffin Lane McKinleyville California 95519 United States of America

copyright@calconnect.org
www.calconnect.org

Contents

Fore	eword	iv
Gen Stru Gro Con Link	oduction eral ictured iCalendar relationships uped iCalendar relationships cept relationships ced relationships hing and offline use	.v v .v
1.	Scope	.1
2.	Normative references	. 1
3.	Terms and definitions	. 1
4.	Reference Types	. 1
5.	Link Relation Types	. 2
6.	Redefined Relation Type Value	.2
	New Property Parameters Rel Gap	4
8.	New Value Data Types	. 5
9.2.	New Properties Concept Link Refid	.5 6
10. 10.1	Redefined RELATED-TO Property RELATED-TO	8 . 8
11.	Security Considerations	. 9
12.1	IANA Considerations iCalendar Property Registrations iCalendar Property Parameter Registrations	.9
12.3 12.4 12.5	iCalendar Value Data Type Registrations iCalendar RELTYPE Value Registrations New Reference Type Registration	10 10 10
13.	Acknowledgements	11
Bibl	iography1	12

:2018 Foreword

This document updates RELATED-TO defined in <u>IETF RFC 5545</u> and introduces new iCalendar properties LINK, CONCEPT and REFID to allow better linking and grouping of iCalendar components and related data.

The Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium ("CalConnect") is a global non-profit organization with the aim to facilitate interoperability of collaborative technologies and tools through open standards.

CalConnect works closely with international and regional partners, of which the full list is available on our website (<u>https://www.calconnect.org/about/liaisons-and-relationships</u>).

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the CalConnect Directives.

In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of CalConnect documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the CalConnect Directives.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CalConnect shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be provided in the Introduction.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee CALENDAR.

Introduction

General

iCalendar entities often need to be related to each other or to associated meta-data. These relationships can take the following forms

Structured iCalendar	iCalendar entities are related to each other in some structured way, for example as parent, sibling, before, after.
Grouped iCalendar	iCalendar entities are related to each other as a group. CATEGORIES are often used for this purpose but are problematic for application developers.
Linked	Entities are linked to each other through typed references.

Structured iCalendar relationships

The currently existing iCalendar <u>IETF RFC 5545</u> RELATED-TO property has no support for temporal relationships as used by standard project management tools.

The RELTYPE parameter is extended to take new values defining temporal relationships, a GAP parameter is defined to provide lead and lag values and RELATED-TO is extended to allow URI values. These changes allow the RELATED-TO property to define a richer set of relationships useful for project management.

Grouped iCalendar relationships

This specification defines a new REFID property which allows arbitrary groups of entities to be associated with the same key value.

REFID is used to identify a key allowing the association of components that are related to the same object and retrieval of a component based on this key. This may be, for example, to identify the tasks associated with a given project without having to communicate the task structure of the project, or, for example, in a package delivery system all tasks associated to a specific package.

As such, the presence of a REFID property imparts no meaning to the component. It is merely a key to allow retrieval. This is distinct from categorisation which, while allowing grouping also adds meaning to the component to which it is attached.

Concept relationships

The name CONCEPT is used by the Simple Knowledge Organization System defined in <u>W3C CR-</u> <u>skos-reference-20090317</u>. This more accurately defines what we mean by a catgeory. It's not the words but the meaning.

The introduction of CONCEPT allows a more structured approach to categorization, with the possibility of namespaced and path-like values. Unlike REFID the CONCEPT property imparts some meaning. It is assumed that the value of this property will reference a well defined category.

The current <u>IETF RFC 5545</u> CATEGORY property is used as a free form 'tagging' field. As such it is difficult to establish formal relationships between components based on their category.

Rather than attempt to add semantics to the current property it seeems best to continue its usage as an informal tag and establish a new property with more constraints.

Linked relationships

The currently existing iCalendar standard <u>IETF RFC 5545</u> lacks a general purpose method for referencing additional, external information relating to calendar components.

This document proposes a method for referencing typed external information that can provide additional information about an iCalendar component. This new LINK property is closely aligned to the LINK header defined in <u>IETF RFC 5988</u>

The LINK property defines a typed reference or relation to external meta-data or related resources. By providing type and format information as parameters, clients and servers are able to discover interesting references and make use of them, perhaps for indexing or the presentation of interesting links for the user.

It is often necessary to relate calendar components. The current RELATED-TO property only allows for a UID which is inadequate for many purposes. Allowing other value types for that property may help but might raise a number of backward compatibility issues. The link property can link components in different collections or even on different servers.

When publishing events it is useful to be able to refer back to the source of that information. The actual event may have been consumed from a feed or an ics file on a web site. A LINK property can provide a reference to the originator of the event.

Beyond the need to relate elements temporally, project management tools often need to be able to specify the relationships between the various events and tasks which make up a project. The LINK property provides such a mechanism.

The LINK property SHOULD NOT be treated as just another attachment. The ATTACH property is being extended to handle server-side management and stripping of inline data. Clients may choose to handle attachments differently as they are often an integral part of the message — for example, the agenda. See<u>Internet-Draft draft-daboo-caldav-attachments-00</u>

Caching and offline use

To facilitate offline display the link type may identify important pieces of data which should be downloaded in advance.

In general, the calendar entity should be self explanatory without the need to download referenced meta-data such as a web page.

Calendaring and scheduling — Support for iCalendar Relationships

1. Scope

This specification updates RELATED-TO defined in <u>IETF RFC 5545</u> and introduces new iCalendar properties LINK, CONCEPT and REFID to allow better linking and grouping of iCalendar components and related data.

2. Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IETF RFC 5545, B. DESRUISSEAUX (ed.). *Internet Calendaring and Scheduling Core Object Specification (iCalendar)*. In: RFC. 2009. RFC Publisher. <u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5545</u>. [viewed: December 9, 2024].

IETF RFC 5988, M. NOTTINGHAM. *Web Linking*. In: RFC. 2010. RFC Publisher. <u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5988</u>. [viewed: December 9, 2024].

IETF RFC 6638, C. DABOO and B. DESRUISSEAUX. *Scheduling Extensions to CalDAV*. In: RFC. 2012. RFC Publisher. <u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6638</u>. [viewed: December 9, 2024].

W3C CR-skos-reference-20090317, ALISTAIR MILES and SEAN BECHHOFER (eds.). *SKOS Simple Knowledge Organization System Reference*. In: W3C CR. 2009. World Wide Web Consortium. <u>http://www.w3.org/TR/2009/CR-skos-reference-20090317/</u>. [viewed: December 9, 2024].

W3C WD-xptr-xpointer-20021219, EVE MALER, RON DANIEL and STEVEN DEROSE (eds.). *XPointer xpointer() Scheme*. In: W3C WD. 2002. World Wide Web Consortium. <u>https://www.w3.org/TR/2002/WD-xptr-xpointer-20021219/</u>. [viewed: December 9, 2024].

Internet-Draft draft-daboo-caldav-attachments-00, CYRUS DABOO. *CalDAV Managed Attachments*. In: Internet-Draft. 2011. <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-daboo-caldav-attachments-00</u>. [viewed: December 9, 2024].

3. Terms and definitions

No terms and definitions are listed in this document.

4. Reference Types

The actual reference value can take three forms specified by the type parameter

- URI The default type. This is a URI referring to the target.
- UID This allows for linking within a single collection and the value is assumed to be another component within that collection.

REFERENCE An xpointer. In an XML environment it may be necessary to refer to an external XML artifact. The XPointer is defined in <u>W3C WD-xptr-xpointer-</u>20021219 and allows addressing portions of XML documents.

5. Link Relation Types

<u>IETF RFC 5988</u> defines two forms of relation types, registered and extension. Registered relation types are added to a registry defined by <u>IETF RFC 5988</u> while extension relation types are specified as unique unregistered URIs, (at least unregistered in the <u>IETF RFC 5988</u> registry).

The relation types defined here will be registered with IANA in accordance with the specifications in <u>IETF RFC 5988</u>.

6. Redefined Relation Type Value

Relationship parameter type values are defined in <u>IETF RFC 5545</u>, <u>Section 3.2.15</u>. This specification redefines that type to include the new temporal relationship values FINISHTOSTART, FINISHTOFINISH, STARTTOFINISH and STARTTOSTART. It also adds the DEPENDS-ON value to provide a link to a component upon which the current component depends.

Format Definition	This property parameter is defined by the following notation:		
Demition	51 1	= "RELTYPE" "=" ("PARENT" ; Parent relationship -	
	Default	/ "CHILD" ; Child relationship / "SIBLING" ; Sibling relationship / "DEPENDS-ON" ; refers to previous	
	component	/ "REFID" ; Relationship based on	
	REFID	/ "CONCEPT" ; Relationship based on CONCEPT	
		<pre>/ "FINISHTOSTART" ; Temporal relationship / "FINISHTOFINISH" ; Temporal</pre>	
	relationship	<pre>/ "STARTTOFINISH" ; Temporal relationship / "STARTTOSTART" ; Temporal relationship / iana-token ; Some other IANA-registered</pre>	
	type	<pre>/ x-name) ; A non-standard,</pre>	
	experimental		
		; relationship type	

Figure 1

Description This parameter can be specified on a property that references another related calendar component. The parameter may specify the hierarchical relationship type of the calendar component referenced by the property when the value is PARENT, CHILD or SIBLING. If this parameter is not specified on an allowable property, the default relationship type is PARENT. Applications MUST treat x-name and ianatoken values they don't recognize the same way as they would the PARENT value.

This parameter defines the temporal relationship when the value is one of the project management standard relationships FINISHTOSTART, FINISHTOFINISH, STARTTOFINISH or STARTTOSTART. This property will be present in the predecessor entity and will refer to the successor entity. The GAP parameter specifies the lead or lag time between the predecessor and the successor. In the description

of each temporal relationship below we refer to Task-A which contains and controls the relationship and Task-B the target of the relationship.

RELTYPE= PARENT	See <u>IETF RFC 5545, Section 3.2.15</u> .		
.,	See <u>IETF RFC 5545, Section 3.2.15</u> .		
RELTYPE= SIBLING	See IETF RFC 5545, Section 3.2.15.		
RELTYPE= DEPENDS-ON	Indicates that the current calendar component depends on the referenced calendar component in some manner. For example a task may be blocked waiting on the other, referenced, task.		
RELTYPE=REFID	Establishes a reference from the current component to components with a REFID property which matches the value given in the associated RELATED-TO property.		
RELTYPE= CONCEPT	Establishes a reference from the current component to components with a CONCEPT property which matches the value given in the associated RELATED-TO property.		
RELTYPE= FINISHTOSTART	Task-B cannot start until Task-A finishes. For example, when sanding is complete, painting can begin.		
======================================			
Figure 2 — Finish to start relationship			

Figure 2 — Finish to start relationship

RELTYPE= FINISHTOFINISH	Task-B cannot finish before Task-A is finished, that is the end of Task-A defines the end of Task-B. For example, we start the potatoes, then the meat then the peas but they should all be cooked at the same time.

===========	Task-A +	==========	===========	Task-B <-+
============				

Figure 3 — Finish to finish relationship

RELTYPE=The start of Task-A (which occurs after Task- B) controls the finish ofSTARTTOFINISHTask-B. For example, ticket sales (Task-B) end when the game starts
(Task-A).

Figure 4 — Start to finish relationship

RELTYPE= STARTTOSTART	The start of Task-A triggers the start of Task-B, that is Task-B can start anytime after Task-A starts.
======================================	ask-A ========= ================ +-> Task-B

Figure 5 — Start to start relationship

7. New Property Parameters

7.1. Rel

Parameter name	REL				
Purpose	To specify the relationship of data referenced by a LINK property.				
Format Definition	This parameter is defined by the following notation:				
Deminition	relparam = "REL" "=" ("SOURCE" ; Link to source of this				
	<pre>component / DQUOTE uri DQUOTE / x-name ; Experimental reference type / iana-token) ; Other IANA registered type</pre>				
	Figure 6				

Description This parameter MUST be specified on all LINK properties, and defines the type of reference. This allows programs consuming this data to automatically scan for references they support. In addition to the values defined here any value defined in <u>IETF RFC 5988</u> may be used. There is no default relation type.

REL=SOURCE identifies the source of the event information.

Registration These relation types are registered in IETF RFC 5988

7.2. Gap

Parameter	GAP		
name Purpose	To specify the length of the gap, positive or negative between two temporaly related components.		
Format	This parameter is defined by the following notation:		
Definition	gapparam = "GAP" "=" dur-value		
	Figure 7		
Description	This parameter MAY be specified on the RELATED-TO property, and defines the duration of time between the predecessor and successor in a interval. When positive it defines the lag time between a task and its logic successor. When negative it defines the lead time.		
	An example of lag time might be if task A is "paint the room" and task B		

is "hang the drapes" then task A may be related to task B with RELTYPE= FINISHTOSTART with a gap long enough for the paint to dry.

An example of lead time might be to relate a 1 week task A to the end of task B with RELTYPE=STARTTOFINISH and a negative gap of 1 week so they finish at the same time.

8. New Value Data Types

This specification defines the following new value types to be used with the VALUE property parameter:

UID VALUE=UID indicates that the associated value is the UID for a component.

REFERENCE VALUE=REFERENCE indicates that the associated value is an xpointer referencing an associated XML artifact.

9. New Properties

9.1. Concept

Property name CONCEPT

Purpose	This property defines the formal categories for a calendar component.		
Value type	URI		
Property Parameters	IANA, and non-standard parameters can be specified on this property.		
Conformance	This property can be specified zero or more times in any iCalendar component.		
Description	This property is used to specify formal categories or classifications of the calendar component. The values are useful in searching for a calendar component of a particular type and category.		
	Within the "VEVENT", "VTODO", or "VJOURNAL" calendar components, more than one formal category can be specified by using multiple CONCEPT properties.		
	This categorization is distinct from the more informal "tagging" of components provided by the existing CATEGORIES property. It is expected that the value of the CONCEPT property will reference an external resource which provides information about the categorization.		
	In addition, a structured URI value allows for hierarchical categorization of events.		
	Possible category resources are the various proprietary systems, for example Library of Congress, or an open source derived from something like the dmoz.org data.		
Format Definition	This property is defined by the following notation:		
Dennition	concept = "CONCEPT" conceptparam ":" uri CRLF		
	<pre>conceptparam = *(; ; The following is OPTIONAL, ; and MAY occur more than once. ; (";" other-param)</pre>		

Figure 8

EXAMPLE

The following is an example of this property. It points to a server acting as the source for the calendar object.

CONCEPT:http://example.com/event-types/sports CONCEPT:http://example.com/event-types/arts/music CONCEPT:http://example.com/task-types/delivery

;)

9.2. Link

Property	LINK		
name Purpose	This property provides a reference to external information about a component.		
Value type	URI, TEXT or REFERENCE		
Property Parameters	Non-standard, reference type or format type parameters can be specified on this property.		
Conformance	This property MAY	be specified in any iCalendar component.	
Description	When used in a component the value of this property points to addit information related to the component. For example, it may reference originating web server.		
Format	This property is defined by the following notation:		
Definition	link	<pre>= "LINK" linkparam / ((";" "VALUE" "=" "TEXT" ":" text) (";" "VALUE" "=" "REFERENCE" ":" text) (";" "VALUE" "=" "URI" ":" uri) CRLF</pre>	
	linkparam	<pre>= *(; the following is MANDATORY ; and MAY occur more than once (";" relparam) / ; the following are MANDATORY ; but MUST NOT occur more than once</pre>	

```
(";" fmttypeparam) /
(";" labelparam) /
; labelparam is defined in ...
; the following is OPTIONAL
; and MAY occur more than once
(";" xparam)
)
Figure 9
```

EXAMPLE

The following is an example of this property. It points to a server acting as the source for the calendar object.

LINK;REL=SOURCE;LABEL=The Egg:http://example.com/events

9.3. Refid

Property name REFID

Purpose	This property value acts as a key for associated iCalendar entities.		
Value type	TEXT		
Property Parameters	Non-standard para	ameters can be specified on this property.	
Conformance	This property MAY be specified multiple times in any iCalendar component.		
Description	components to be	roperty is a text identifier that allows associated located or retrieved as a whole. For example all of vel itinerary would have the same REFID value.	
Format	This property is de	fined by the following notation:	
Definition	refid = "R	EFID" refidparam ":" text CRLF	
	refidparam	= *(
		; the following is OPTIONAL ; and MAY occur more than once	
		(";" xparam)	
)	

Figure 10

EXAMPLE

The following is an example of this property.

```
REFID: itinerary - 2014 - 11 - 17
```

10. Redefined RELATED-TO Property

10.1. RELATED-TO

Property name	RELATED-TO
Purpose	This property is used to represent a relationship or reference between one calendar component and another. The definition here extends the definition in <u>IETF RFC 5545, Section 3.8.4.5</u> by allowing URI or UID values and a GAP parameter.
Value type	URI, UID or TEXT
Property Parameters	Relationship type, IANA and non-standard property parameters can be specified on this property.
Conformance	This property MAY be specified in any iCalendar component.
Description	By default or when VALUE=UID is specified, the property value consists of the persistent, globally unique identifier of another calendar component. This value would be represented in a calendar component by the "UID" property.
	By default, the property value points to another calendar component that has a PARENT relationship to the referencing object. The "RELTYPE" property parameter is used to either explicitly state the default PARENT relationship type to the referenced calendar component or to override the default PARENT relationship type and specify either a CHILD or SIBLING relationship or a temporal relationship.
	The PARENT relationship indicates that the calendar component is a subordinate of the referenced calendar component. The CHILD relationship indicates that the calendar component is a superior of the referenced calendar component. The SIBLING relationship indicates that the calendar component is a peer of the referenced calendar component.
	The FINISHTOSTART, FINISHTOFINISH, STARTTOFINISH or STARTTOSTART relationships define temporal relationships as specified in the reltype parameter definition.
	Changes to a calendar component referenced by this property can have an implicit impact on the related calendar component. For example, if a group event changes its start or end date or time, then the related, dependent events will need to have their start and end dates changed in a corresponding way. Similarly, if a PARENT calendar component is cancelled or deleted, then there is an implied impact to the related CHILD calendar components. This property is intended only to provide information on the relationship of calendar components. It is up to the target calendar system to maintain any property implications of this relationship.
Format	This property is defined by the following notation:
Definition	related = "RELATED-TO" relparam (":" text) / (":" "VALUE" "=" "UID"
	";" "VALUE" "=" "UID" ":" uid

```
)
              (
                ":" "VALUE" "=" "URI"
               ":" uri
              )
             CRLF
relparam
           = *(
             ; The following are OPTIONAL,
             : but MUST NOT occur more than once.
             (";" reltypeparam) /
             (";" gapparam) /
             ; The following is OPTIONAL,
             ; and MAY occur more than once.
            (";" other-param)
            )
                            Figure 11
```

The following are examples of this property.

RELATED-T0:jsmith.part7.19960817T083000.xyzMail@example.com

RELATED-T0:19960401-080045-4000F192713-0052@example.com

```
RELATED-T0;VALUE=URI;RELTYPE=STARTTOFINISH:
http://example.com/caldav/user/jb/cal/
19960401-080045-4000F192713.ics
```

11. Security Considerations

EXAMPLE

Applications using the LINK property need to be aware of the risks entailed in using the URIs provided as values. See <u>IETF RFC 3986</u> for a discussion of the security considerations relating to URIs.

The CONCEPT and redefined RELATED-TO property have the same issues in that values may be URIs.

12. IANA Considerations

12.1. iCalendar Property Registrations

The following iCalendar property names have been added to the iCalendar Properties Registry defined in <u>IETF RFC 5545, Section 8.3.2</u>

	Table 1	
Property CONCEPT	Status Current	Reference <u>Clause 9.1</u>
LINK	Current	<u>Clause 9.2</u>

Property	Status	Reference
REFID	Current	<u>Clause 9.3</u>

12.2. iCalendar Property Parameter Registrations

The following iCalendar property parameter names have been added to the iCalendar Parameters Registry defined in <u>IETF RFC 5545, Section 8.3.3</u>

	Table 2	
Parameter REL	Status Current	Reference <u>Clause 7.1</u>
GAP	Current	<u>Clause 7.2</u>

12.3. iCalendar Value Data Type Registrations

The following iCalendar property parameter names have been added to the iCalendar Value Data Types Registry defined in <u>IETF RFC 5545</u>, <u>Section 8.3.4</u>

	Table 3	Table 3	
Value Data Type UID	Status Current	Reference <u>Clause 8</u>	
REFERENCE	Current	<u>Clause 8</u>	

12.4. iCalendar RELTYPE Value Registrations

The following iCalendar "RELTYPE" values have been added to the iCalendar Relationship Types Registry defined in <u>IETF RFC 5545</u>, <u>Section 8.3.8</u>

Table 4		
Relationship Type DEPENDS-ON	Status Current	Reference <u>Clause 6</u>
REFID	Current	<u>Clause 6</u>
CONCEPT	Current	<u>Clause 6</u>
FINISHTOSTART	Current	<u>Clause 6</u>
FINISHTOFINISH	Current	<u>Clause 6</u>
STARTTOFINISH	Current	<u>Clause 6</u>
STARTTOSTART	Current	<u>Clause 6</u>

12.5. New Reference Type Registration

The following link relation values have been added to the Reference Types Registry defined in <u>IETF RFC 5988, Section 6.2.2</u>

Name	Status
SOURCE	Current

Reference Clause 7.1

13. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the members of the Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium technical committees and the following individuals for contributing their ideas, support and comments:

Adrian Apthorp, Cyrus Daboo, Marten Gajda, Ken Murchison

The author would also like to thank CalConnect, the Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium for advice with this specification.

Bibliography

:2018

- [1] IETF RFC 2119, S. BRADNER. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. In: BCP. 1997. RFC Publisher. <u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</u>. [viewed: December 9, 2024].
- [2] IETF RFC 3986, T. BERNERS-LEE, R. FIELDING and L. MASINTER. *Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax*. In: STD. 2005. RFC Publisher. <u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986</u>. [viewed: December 9, 2024].